

# DYNAM/C PAIRINGS

## Sorting success lies in pairing the right sensor technologies with the right method of extraction.

t MSS Inc., we know the challenges presented by sorting recyclables at material recovery facilities (MRFs) can be approached in many ways. For 48 years, we have developed and deployed automated sensor technologies for the waste management and recycling industry. As part of CP Group, we have unmatched insight into how to best prepare material so our optical sorters can perform at their best based on our design principles of fractionate, liberate and separate.

We have practical experience in MRF operation as part of CP Group, which has owned and operated a MRF in San Diego since 1995. This hands-on experience drives our approach to equipment development and MRF design.

Recently, artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics have been getting a lot of attention. For some people, robotics and AI are synonymous. However, robotics is not AI, and AI is not robotics.

AI seeks solutions to difficult problems related to human abilities, while robotics aims to automate physical, repetitive tasks. Additionally, robots are not the only mechanical technology that AI can be paired with. In some

cases, the application dictates the need for more robust extraction, such as the air jets commonly used with optical sorters, which have proven their value in MRFs over decades.

At MSS, we are of the firm belief that sensing technology needs to be completely decoupled from extraction technology. One

really has nothing to do with the other. A MRF operator needs to consider the best sensor technology to identify the targeted items in a mixed material stream and then pair it with the most appropriate extraction method required to physically remove the targeted items.

#### **Consider your sensor**

The commonly used sensors in mass sorting MRF applications are near infrared (NIR), color and metal. Depending on the application, it could require just one or possibly a combination of these individual sensor technologies to achieve the sorting goal.



The performance of these sensors can be enhanced by adding other sensor technologies, such as AI.

NIR and AI sensors can provide vast amounts of data and statistics to MRF operators for monitoring and operational improvement purposes. AI's deep-learning capability is complementary to NIR, and its deeper levels of available granularity provides finer classifications of individual product and material categories. Further-

more, AI sensors build on the identification capabilities that NIR does not have, most prominently sorting items like cat food cans and cooking foil from used beverage cans (UBCs) and poly-

ethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles from PET thermoforms. NIR sensors can truly identify material by chemistry, while AI

COST PER PICK		
Wear Parts	CFM	Cost per ton
0.0750 cents	0.04 cents	\$17.25
0.0025 cents	0.04 cents	\$ 6.57
0.0005 cents	0.01 cents	\$ 1.57
	<b>Wear Parts</b> 0.0750 cents 0.0025 cents 0.0005 cents	Wear Parts CFM   0.0750 cents 0.04 cents   0.0025 cents 0.04 cents   0.0005 cents 0.01 cents

sensors cannot. AI sensors work like the human eye, deducing what an item might be based on what it looks like; it cannot determine if a bottle is made from PET or high-density polyethylene (HDPE), it only does so indirectly. AI sensors also cannot make the distinction between some PET and PP thermoformed containers or UBCs that have PET shrink sleeves versus those with directly printed graphics. These are tasks that conventional NIR sensors in combination with metal detectors are well-suited to.

Conveyor and decision speeds are additional considerations. With NIR sensors, we can go up to 1,000 feet per minute, while AI starts experiencing issues when the belt is moving faster than 300 feet per minute. Additionally, the decision speed, or the time between detection and extraction of an item, is about 10 times faster for NIR than AI sensors. As far as working width is concerned, AI sensors typically are used on up to 60-inch-wide belts. Therefore, if you want to go wider, you'll need double the number of AI sensors. On the other hand, NIR sensors on optical sorters can be used on conveyor belts that are as wide as 112 inches.

#### **Extraction options**

The suction cups commonly used on robots are one form of extraction technology. The air jets used with optical sorters are another form. But the options don't end there; extraction technologies also can include clamps or diverters, for instance.

When determining the best extraction technology for a particular application, consider the effective picks

per minute. We define effective picks as those where the effector successfully puts the correct target item into the dedicated chute, not just the number of movements or ejections. Based on the

data we have available from all our installations, our air jet optical sorters can achieve over 1,000 effective picks per minute from a 112-inch-wide acceleration belt, whereas a robot might be able to achieve about 60 effective picks per minute (out of 90 possible movements), making it 15-times slower.

If the material targeted by a robot is nicely spread out on teh conveyor belt, robots can perform well. However, if the targeted items are bunched up or their percentage in the input stream increases, robots are challenged to keep up. This leads to your pass stream being unnecessarily contaminated or the recovery rate of the targeted items being much lower.

The geometry of the robotic arm's effector also is a factor. Common bellows-type suction cups work better with twodimensional material, while three-dimensional items are difficult to pick up with these small suction cups. Air jets, on the other hand, can effectively eject 3D items.

When it comes to cost per pick, air jets have been shown to be about 10 times less expensive than suction cups. If we assume that 16,500 natural HDPE bottles comprise a ton, a MRF would spend



A MRF operator should consider a technology platform that pairs the right type of sensor with the appropriate extraction method for the task at hand. The decision of whether AI or NIR should be used for a sorting function in a MRF needs to be carefully considered, as does the extraction method. While these technologies can be complementary, a robot cannot replace an optical sorter. It makes sense to talk with a vendor that understands these nuances. We at MSS are ready to have that conversation with you.





\$19 per ton sorted using a robot with a suction-cup effector. With air jets, that cost would be well under \$2 per ton.

One area where robots can excel is their ability to sort multiple output fractions at once. While we normally recommend using single-eject configurations for most common MRF applications for air jet sorters, using a robotic arm allows three to four individual fractions to be sorted at the same time.

#### **Application examples**

On an aluminum QC line where the goal is to sort non-UBC metals and nonmetals from the UBCs, even in smaller MRFs the number of required picks per minute exceeds any robot's capacity. Therefore, the removal of the nonmetals becomes a priority for the AI, which leaves the UBCs contaminated with non-UBC metal items. The same occurs on PET QC lines where the goal is to sort thermoforms and non-PET from the PET bottles. With the percentage of thermoforms in the PET fraction rising, robots simply cannot keep up anymore. Combining AI sensor technology with air ejector extraction has proven more effective to achieve the required true picks per minute.

Other recent installations put AI-robot combinations on PE QC lines, where NIR-based conventional optical sorters are ejecting "all PE." Not only does PE include milk jugs and detergent bottles, it also includes any PE-based tubs and lids. Because the AI does not know the difference between a PE or polypropylene

(PP) lid, it's identifying and picking out perfectly acceptable PE lids because it thinks they are PP (based on the assumption that all lids are PP). In this scenario, not only does the robot itself potentially have limiting capabilities, but the AI is not the correct sensor for the application. Furthermore, if the robot is deployed to also sort natural PE from color PE at the same time, these incorrect picks take away the picks required to color sort the PE. This

leads to the much more valuable natural PE ending up in the color PE, reducing the overall commodity value in the MRF.

### MSS sensor-extraction technology platform

Felix Hottenstein Sales Director MSS, Inc.

> MSS. Inc. 300 Oceanside Drive, Nashville, TN 37204 615-781-2669 ext 215 www.mssoptical.com